



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/721,482 | 11/25/2003 | Chang-Soo Koo | 1-2-0420.1US | 6044 | |
| 24374 75 | 7590 10/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | KOENIG, P.C. | LEE, JOHN J | | | |
| DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZ | ZA, SUITE 1600 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 30 SOUTH 17T | - | 2618 | <u></u> | | |
| PHILADELPH | IA, PA 19103 | DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/721,482 | KOO ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | JOHN J. LEE | 2684 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M raisions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, | TE OF THIS COMMUNICA' 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this componed (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi | 2b)⊠ This for allowan | action is non-final. ce except for formal matters | • • | merits is | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>12-28</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict | re withdraw | | | | | | | |
| _ | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to | a)⊡ acce ction to the d the correction | pted or b) objected to by rawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFF | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice 3) ⊠ Inform | (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/04,1/20/06</u> . | TO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Valkealahti (US 2002/0128031) in view of Nelson et al. (US 2003/0144019).

Regarding claim 1, Valkealahti discloses that a method of transmission power control for a transmitting wireless transmit receive unit (WTRU) (26 in Fig. 2) that transmits successive data sets in a forward channel where the transmitting WTRU is configured to make forward channel power adjustments as a function of characteristics of the data sets as received over the forward channel (Fig. 2, 3 and page 1, paragraphs 3 – 8, where teaches transmission power control for a mobile station that the base station transmits a forward channel with forward channel power control/adjustment as received over the forward channel). Valkealahti teaches that sequentially receiving successive data sets transmitted from the transmitting WTRU on the forward channel (Pages 2, paragraphs 27 – pages 3, paragraphs 32 and Fig. 2, 3, where teaches completely receiving data signal from the base station on the forward channel). Valkealahti teaches that successively computing transmit power control signals (calculating and computing the transmit power control signals) for the transmitting WTRU's forward channel power adjustments based on the characteristics of each of the data sets received on the forward

channel (Pages 2, paragraphs 18 – pages 3, paragraphs 32 and Fig. 2, 3, where teaches calculating and computing the power control signals for base station downlink channel power adjustments based on the received on the downlink channel). Valkealahti teaches that successively computing a bias error value based on the cumulative characteristics of the data set signals received on the forward channel (Pages 2, paragraphs 18 – pages 3, paragraphs 32 and Fig. 2, 3, where teaches calculating and computing the bias frame error value based on the received forward channel and adjusts the bias frame error value to a target bias frame error rate). Valkealahti teaches that in advance of each successive data set after the transmission of a first data set (completing all data signals), adjusting forward channel power (adjusting, power control, downlink channel by recently received signals) as a function of the most recently computed transmit power control signal and most recently computed bias error value (pages 3, paragraphs 29 – pages 4, paragraphs 44 and Fig. 5, 6, where teaches as completing received all data signals, adjusting downlink power control and bias frame error value by computing and calculating the resent received the signals with power control and bias frame error value).

Valkealahti does not specifically teach the limitation "sequentially receiving successive data sets transmitted from the transmitting WTRU on the forward channel with power control". However, Nelson teaches the limitation "sequentially receiving successive data sets transmitted from the transmitting WTRU on the forward channel with power control" (pages 2, paragraphs 26 – pages 3, paragraphs 30 and Fig. 1, 2, where transmitting burst counter for counting the number of transmission burst which number is used later in the process as a threshold determinate on the forward channel

Application/Control Number: 10/721,482

Art Unit: 2684

with performing power control and power adjustment). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Valkealahti system as taught by Nelson, provide the motivation to achieve enhancing the open loop power control between base station and mobile station.

Page 4

Regarding **claim 2**, Valkealahti and Nelson teach all the limitation as discussed in claim 1. Furthermore, Valkealahti teaches that the transmitting WTRU is a network unit (base station in Fig. 2) that transmits data sets on a downlink channel and the computing of transmit power control signals is performed by a receiving WTRU that receives the downlink channel (Pages 2, paragraphs 18 – pages 3, paragraphs 32 and Fig. 2, 3, where teaches the base station performs that calculating and computing the power control signals for base station downlink channel power adjustments based on the received on the downlink channel).

Regarding **claims 3 and 6**, Valkealahti teaches that the transmitting WTRU computes the bias error values (pages 3, paragraphs 29 – 37 and Fig. 4, 5, where teaches calculating and computing the bias frame error value based on the received forward channel and adjusts the bias frame error value to a target bias frame error rate, and retransmitting).

Regarding **claims 4 and 7**, Valkealahti teaches that the receiving WTRU computes the bias error values (pages 3, paragraphs 29 – 37 and Fig. 4, 5, where teaches calculating and computing the bias frame error value based on the received forward channel and adjusts the bias frame error value to a target bias frame error rate).

Regarding **claim 5**, Valkealahti teaches that the transmitting WTRU (base station in Fig. 3) transmits user signals on an uplink channel and the computing of transmit power control signals is performed by a network unit (network controller in Fig. 3) that receives the uplink channel (pages 3, paragraphs 29 – pages 4, paragraphs 43 and Fig. 3, 5, where teaches the base station transmits the mobile station signals on the uplink channel to network controller and computing transmission power control).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 12 – 28 are allowed.

Claims 12 - 28 are allowable over the prior art of record because a search does not detect the combined claimed elements as set forth in the claims 12 - 28.

As recited in independent claims 12, 15, and 21, none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests that a receiving wireless transmit receive unit (WTRU) for implementing transmission power control comprises the processor configured to successively compute a bias error value based on the cumulative characteristics of the data set signals received on the forward channel; and a transmitter configured to transmit on a reverse channel the transmit power control signals for the transmitting WTRU's forward channel power adjustments and the bias error values to the transmitting WTRU to thereby enable the transmitting WTRU, in advance of each successive data set after the transmission of a first data set, to adjust forward channel power as a function of the most recently computed transmit power control signal and most recently computed bias error

. . . .

value, and together with combination of other element as set forth in the claims 12 - 28. Therefore, claims 12 - 28 are allowable over the prior art of records.

4. Claims 8 – 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose "transmitting the power step commands as a function of computed target Signal to Interference Ratios (SIRs), on a reverse channel by the receiving WTRU, and receiving the power step commands by the transmitting WTRU on the reverse channel and computing power adjustments for forward channel transmissions based on the received power step commands" as specified in the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Iochi (US 2002/0196879) discloses Desired Wave/Interference Power Ratio Measuring Circuit and Desired Wave/Interference Power Ratio Measuring Method.

Ulupinar (US 2004/0047305) discloses Distributed Reverse Channel Outer Loop

Power Control for a Wireless Communications System.

Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 10/721,482 Page 7

Art Unit: 2684

. . . .

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313

or faxed (571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to USPTO Headquarters, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7880. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Edward Urban**, can be reached on (571) 272-7899. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L September 30, 2006

John J Lee

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600